


Appendix A


Representation and linearization in Mirror Theory

In this appendix, I briefly summarize the main principles of Mirror Theory (Brody 2000): *Telescope* and *Mirror*. Following *Telescope*, “a single copy of a lexical item can serve both as a head and as a phrase” (Brody 2000: 41). Thus, the projection structure in (1a) should be represented as in (1b).

- (1) a. Projections



- b. Telescope



Mirror concerns the linearization of morphosyntactic structures (Brody 2000: 41-44). Following this principle: **i.** the *syntactic* order of a head and its constituents is Spec > Head > Comp; and **ii.** the *morphological* order of a head and its complement is the inverse of their syntactic order. Thus, a head-complement chain forms a *morphological word* where Comp precedes Head. Syntactically, this morphological word is spelled out in the highest *strong* head (indicated by @). It follows from *Mirror* that specifiers that are *not included* in the complement of this head precede the morphological word. Conversely, specifiers that are *included* in the complement of this head are preceded by the morphological word. This linearization rule is represented by arrows in (2).

- (2) Representation of ‘Mary loves John’

